



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/491,779	01/26/2000	Michael Gauselmann	ADP231	9043	
75	590 12/31/2002				
Horst M Kasper			EXAM	EXAMINER	
13 Forest Drive Warren, NJ 07059			COLLINS, D	COLLINS, DOLORES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SM			
•		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
		09/491,779	GAUSELMANN, MICH	AEL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dolores R. Collins	3711				
	The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perione to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may  ply within the statutory minimum of the discount of the discount of the country of th	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 <u>December 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in	Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	The translation of the foreign language p	• •		•			
Attachment	<del>-</del>	, ,	•				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15;				

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges the preliminary amendment made by applicant's representative and received 12/27/02. Examiner further acknowledges the addition of claim 9.

Applicant states on page 3 of his previous response received 5/15/02, that 'claims 1 through 18 continue in this case'. Claims 1-8 and new claim 9 are the only claims currently filed with the application, therefore claims 1-8 are the only ones examined in this office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Vancura.

Vancura discloses Gaming Machines with Bonusing. In his game he teaches the playing of a bonus game in a secondary machine adjacent to a primary machine.

Vancura's invention substantially teaches the limitations as claimed.

### Referring to Claim 1, 3, 7 & 9

### Vancura teaches:

- that the primary machine acts as a traditional slot machine (col. 18, lines 22-24).
- that the primary gaming machine can be a suitable gaming machine, such as, slot, poker, keno etc.;

### and

• the accumulating of winnings in an award meter (col. 17, lines 44-54).

Art Unit: 3711

### Referring to Claim 2 & 8

#### Vancura teaches:

a secondary machine (claim 1);

- a bonus qualifying signal, to play a bonus game on the secondary machine, when a predetermined combination of symbols is obtain (col. 18, lines 24-28);
- determining the winning values and accumulating winnings in the specific winning machine (claim 1).

### Referring to Claim 4

#### Vancura teaches:

 a bonus-qualifying event determined after the primary machine is activated (col. 3, lines 18-20 and col.4, lines 55-64).

### Referring to Claim 5 & 6

### Vancura teaches:

- a secondary machine (claim 1);
- the use a processor to facilitate all the functions of the primary (master)
   and secondary (slave) machines (see figure 50);
- a bonus/jackpot (claim 12);
- collecting the game results of the secondary machine in the primary machine (col. 16, lines 62-67);

Art Unit: 3711

• that the primary machine can be used as a slot, poker or keno machine (col. 5, lines 14-20).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/2002 and preliminary amendment received 12/27/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant extensively argues the differences between the cited references and the claimed invention.

Applicant, however, fails to claim anything that is novel compared with the teachings of the cited reference.

The independent claims were amended to include the word 'actuated' which simply means "put into mechanical action". This does not present novelty when compared with the cited reference and other representations well known in the art.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takenouchi et al. (528) & (995), Traci and Barrie et al. are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(703) 308-8352*. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *PAUL SEWELL* can be reached on *(703) 308-2126*. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are *(703)* 

Art Unit: 3711

**305-3579** for regular communications and **(703) 305-3579** for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is *(703) 308-1148*.

7e

December 27, 2002

Paul T. Sewell Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 7